UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	· VI-	Sergio Zapata-Padilla	Case Number: <u>11-09916M-001</u>
present	and was	s represented by counsel. I conclude by defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on August 15, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by	a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
•	× ·		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant or otherwise removed.			aces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
		The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
 The defendant has no resources in the United States from to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. 		The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
		The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial family ties to Mexico.		The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum o	f years imprisonment.
at the tir	The Co	urt incorporates by reference the materi e hearing in this matter, except as note	ial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court of in the record.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will flee.
	2.	No condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION
a correct appeal. of the Ur	tions fac The def nited Sta	cility separate, to the extent practicable, fendant shall be afforded a reasonable of ates or on request of an attorney for the	he Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending apportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
			detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to o Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services	s sufficie		a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: .	Augus	st 15, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN
			United States Magistrate Judge